

96 reasons why
Jesus
does not belong with
the Old Testament



96 Theses

96 Theses

96 reasons
why Jesus
does not belong
in the Bible
in its present form

by
Mark Golding

I'm coming back to the heart of worship

And it's all about You

It's all about You, Jesus

Christ V Bible Series
Birmingham, UK.

www.ChristvBible.com

Copyright © Mark Golding 2007

First published in the UK by Dunamis Publications 2008

Printed and finished by Amen Printing t/a of Intelligent Services Ltd,
Birmingham UK. 0121 475 3633

No rights reserved. Any part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without prior permission of the publisher except where there are references to other works.

This book has no selling price and is not subject to any reselling or trading conditions. It may be freely circulated for reasons favourable or otherwise, whether in its present form or parts thereof.

Image

Front cover: The history of the Knights Templars is one of the most chilling accounts of so called Christians bearing arms and crusading against citizens living under the administration of 'non-Christian' princes and lords. The aim was to recapture Jerusalem from the Muslims and herald a new Christian frontier. Jesus Christ washes His hands of the entire historical narrative of a church supporting military adventurism. Constantine and his apologists are more than likely emissaries from hell.

Pretext

Throughout my experience of church life in various denominations I have encountered many people holding seemingly conflicting theological positions within both Protestant and Catholic traditions, as well as Pentecostal ones. A generous theology struggles to keep the peace. More often than not it is the quotation of the Bible, rather than the living example of Christ, that also seemingly authenticates deeply held convictions about the essential character and nature of God. The differences are so acute I've noticed many leave the church in despair because they find themselves worshipping a God estranged by Biblical texts He has not inspired.

The received truth that all scriptures in the Bible are God breathed, which people use to support their theology, means divine authority has been given to far too many words and far too many dubious characters to make any sense of the notion of holiness. The idea of the sinless Logos becoming flesh and dwelling among us and then being married to the Old Testament under a new title called 'the Word of God' is one that is conducive to creating the very confusion that the Light of the World principally came to remove.

The purpose of the theses is to show that the canonical authority ascribed to the Bible under the auspices of a Roman and Jewish way of thinking has only a very flimsy case for being true. The case for the canon is based on an early exegesis by writers who quoted heavily from the Septuagint to support their theology that lowered the pre-eminence of Jesus' words to the level of an Earthly praesidium of sinners' words. By using Jesus as a kind of blender, they made a large selection of sinner's words to appear sacred and holy, creating what seems like an indivisible and timeless link of authority between Jesus' words and the words of sinners, which sets in concrete two incompatible portrayals of God.

The purpose is to show there is a clear distinction between the Bible as a historical and anecdotal record of the nature of man and the Bible as an authoritative record of God's nature and character. There is a clear distinction also between what is holy and what is not. The distinction is between a): a record of the lives of sinners, often displaying a bi-polar tendency with mixed results, denoting sin, which is a scripture that is not sinless and b): the life of Christ, a record of the life of God's Son, which is a scripture without sin. The former has been given the status of being holy by early writers arbitrarily retrofitting the scripture of Christ to it. The early church 'fathers', an unfortunate title in view of Christ's commands about status, were foolish to compress into one book the unholy record of God's struggling servants together with the holy record of God Himself as the incarnate Son and then brand it all as holy. It was a tragic tipping point for the life of the church when the Bible was constructed in this way.

The Bible is a record of God's servants attempting to articulate the nature of God by speaking and acting from what they believed to be an inerrant inspiration of God's will which was, when compared with the life of the true servant and Son of God, more like a spiritual prism of tension between the spirit of sinful man pulling in one direction and the Holy Spirit of God pulling in another. The outcome of this scripture of man is prevarication between good and evil, between obedience and rebellion but the account nevertheless has been given cart blanche approval as being fit for occupying the same status as the inerrancy of Christ's testimony about the will, character and nature of God. The distinction of character and of reliability of portrayal has been violently blurred. On one hand the portrayal is totally unreliable because it is conveyed by sinners who didn't know God fully and therefore we cannot trust they heard His voice correctly. On the other hand, the portrayal by Christ is 100% reliable because His Script is eternal, unlike the script of all those who came before Him. The miniscule councils that decided on canonising both

portrayals as equally holy were interpreting Christ's reference to the script of men as a kind of deference, which is a somewhat disengaging way to belittle the Logos who suffered much for the supremacy of His Script.

If Jesus' Script were not forcefully wedded to the unreliable script of sinners in this seamless way, their record of sin, mixed with their record of attempting to break free from sin, would have no case whatsoever for being canonised as inerrant. These protagonists were sinners, full of imaginations about the character, the form and the nature of God that cannot be trusted as inspired by the Holy Spirit. Their portrayal of God's nature and character is blurred by their sinful nature and cannot be trusted therefore as a reliable source for knowing God. If Jesus' account of God was not violently forced into a wedding with their sometimes sinful portrayal of God we would see clearly that their portrayal was full of error and cannot be labelled as 'holy'.

The canonical authority of the Bible is a man-made authority that has imposed a kind of holiness upon the character and script of sinners. It is a book that is influenced more by the imaginations and cultural constructions of the heathen than it is by the Holy Spirit who is Christ in the flesh. It is man-made, not God made. Thus the canon becomes a political human force falling upon Christ to coerce Him into concurring with and deferring to the script of sinners as His truth. But this is a truth that He brought with Him before this human script ever came into existence! "Before Abraham was, I am", is not just an ontological muse, nor a play with the verb to be, but in the context of the unbelieving Pharisee it is Christ's stamp of authority on His voice above all others.

As a story about many 'ordinary' people, like ourselves, attempting to please God or oppose Him, the account is sometimes uplifting, especially when we see the grace and forgiveness of God, but to elevate it to the status of being

God's own testimony about Himself is like rubbing sin into His face.

The Bible is indeed an incredible story that gives a historical blow-by-blow account of His relationship with the nature and sin of man as a redeemer and friend but to lift the voices of some of the protagonists from this history onto the same authoritative pedestal as the voice of Him who knew the Father certainly more intimately than they, a voice heard in heaven before the world began, is not so incredible a story. In fact, it is somewhat preposterous and truly antagonistic to the central purpose and cause of Christ being lifted up on the cross.

It is not the characters and the protagonists in the Bible who deserve any criticism for being canonised, for they are like us who criticise ourselves for 'missing the mark', but it's those Roman and Jewish scholarly writings that elevated their life script occupy a place beyond the stars where they neither belong, nor cannot belong, because they are rooted in sin.

Jesus is the axe to that root but the Bible as canon is a hindrance to the work of that axe. When Jesus said, 'It is finished'. He meant, at last, His voice would be lifted up and the Biblical account of sinners put in its lowly place among men.

Thesis 1

The Bible makes Jesus look like a sandwich filling but the slices of bread are the spirit of man, which sometimes leaves a bad taste in the mouth.

Thesis 2

If I was Jesus giving a perfect and true testimony about myself among my friends in heaven and an Earthly figure full of sin came forward with a book that insisted I conform to its testimony about me I would correct him with a short but sharp prod with my finger, saying, ‘Who the hell do you think you are to tell me who I am and what my will is! Get behind me, Satan!’

Thesis 3

The signs that point us to feed off Jesus are just signs. They do not substantiate the truth about the destination they point to. Unless of course you are in the habit of packing up your suitcase and driving back from your holiday destination to observe and marvel at the road signs that got you there. If so, then you will never arrive.

Thesis 4

Showing the full measure of His love for us He pursued the most extreme and untenable position of humiliation, abasement and rejection as the only One who knew the Creator of the Universe in order to attract our attention to Himself. The deepest desire of His heart, that He carried all the way from heaven and left with us as a personal mission statement, is for His creation to feed off Him and Him alone. The Bible was constructed by devious men who put an obstruction in His way.

Thesis 5

If God does not put His trust in scriptures but only in the words coming out of His mouth I strongly suggest you do likewise and honour the One who spoke them.

Thesis 6

Every knee will bow and every tongue will confess that Jesus Christ is Lord except those who have made the Bible the lord of their lives and their thinking. For them, the worship of Christ is a struggle because the testimony of the Bible contends with the testimony of Christ.

Thesis 7

The foolish virgin did not have enough oil in her lamp. The oil is Jesus' words and the lamp is her spirit. Half of those called to get ready for the marriage were foolish. Eating the wrong words allows the light to go out and readiness to be compromised. Whoops.

Thesis 8

When Adam sinned he heard God's voice first, then he heard the voice of a man. He was cut off from God because he decided to put his trust in the words of a man. People have been putting their trust in words of men ever since. They too remain in sin.

Thesis 9

“Everything must be fulfilled that is written about me in the Law of Moses, the Prophets and the Psalms.” Bible worshippers would translate this as, “Everything in the Law of Moses, the Prophets and the Psalms is written about me and must be fulfilled.” By using the interpretative principle of comparing the inerrant canon of the living Word - Jesus with the erroneous canon of men’s words and commentaries a follower of Christ would bring joy to God’s heart.

Thesis 10

Did Jesus say, “the Bible is the bread of life. He who comes to the Bible will never go hungry and he who believes in the Bible will never be thirsty.”? Why is it that when I proclaim Jesus Christ as the only Way, Truth and Life, in a Christian

assembly, I get thrown out as a blasphemer because I don't proclaim the Bible as the Way, the Truth and the Life?

Thesis 11

If a cultural selection of writings and deeds of sinners are made equal in authority with the words from God's own mouth in a book that has been canonised by Rome to instruct the affairs of world governments, then God has to govern with careful attention to the thoughts and deeds of men. This is a joke. But Christ, who suffered for the pre-eminent authority of His words, does not find it funny.

Thesis 12

A voice came from the cloud saying, "This is my Son, whom I have chosen, listen to Him." But humanism came along and said, "No, this is our book, whose contents we have chosen, listen to it." Now, which of these voices do you think honours Christ?

Thesis 13

Does Jesus accept men's testimonies as a guide to how He should live His life? No. Then why do Christians live by the testimonies of sinners in the Bible instead of by the Sinless One whose eternal words have been falsely made equal with that of sinners?

Thesis 14

The words of men recorded in the Bible died with them and did not raise them from the dead. The Word that became flesh did not die with Him because He was alive in them before they became flesh. How then can the words of men, whether or not dispensed for a season by the inspiration of God, be equal in power and authority forever? The Romans were blind guides then, as they are today.

Thesis 15

Here's an exercise for people who believe that the Bible is Jesus; for those who insist that the Bible is inspired by Jesus; for those that believe the Bible and Jesus are inseparable. As you read the gospels try replacing every instance where Jesus said 'I' or 'Me' or 'the Son of Man' and say out loud 'the Bible.'

Thesis 16

"Look, here comes the Son of Man to raise all those who have died in Him, who held onto every Word from His lips! In death they are raised by His Words because in life they received His Spirit as He promised they would, if they ate His words."

"Look, here comes Paul to raise all those who have died in him, who held onto every word from his lips! In death they are raised by his words because in life they received his spirit as he promised they would, if they ate his words."

Delete the paragraph that is inappropriate.

Thesis 17

I don't doubt at all, as God Himself said, we are all equal as brothers and sisters of Christ. Surely, our words also should be regarded as equal by Him and if our words are regarded as equal then why is it that the words of a handful of Old Testament men who had not 'seen' the Father and a handful of Christians who had seen the Son of God should be called 'the Word of God' and be given authority to influence the life of the church that is the body of Christ? Is the body of Christ ruled by the Head or the body? Answer on a postage stamp please.

Thesis 18

When satan inspired Adam to question the authority of God's voice that commanded him not to eat off the tree of knowledge who do you think inspired men to make a canon out of knowledge that has been recorded by people who didn't know God FULLY?

Thesis 19

If we judge ourselves how to live in this world by the thoughts and writings of men, how will we face God's judgement when He throws all the words of men into the lake of fire? What will happen to us if we put our trust in the words of men?

Thesis 20

If God is going to use the words of men as a measurement for justice and truth, on whose authority will the decision be made to exclude words of men that don't fit into a measurement that is not yet known?

Thesis 21

Jesus said, 'You must eat my flesh and drink my blood, for it is real food' because He wanted a single source of truth to give creation a single minded view of God. The Bible is a mixture of two types of food; the flesh and blood of man exhibiting his sinful nature and the flesh and blood of Christ exhibiting God's nature. To eat both as an authoritative narrative for spiritual consumption not only makes rubbish of all that Christ paid for on the cross but demeans His words to a recipe for splitting personalities. Both the Bible and the Qur'an are as about as holy as a jam sponge.

Thesis 22

Every person that has ever lived has spoken words that will last for eternity? Some people have spoken words that will last for eternity? Some of my words may last for ever; some of yours may last for eternity? Eternity should be grateful therefore for a vast collection of words that will all pass away when only Jesus' words remain. The Bible makes a mockery of The Way, the Truth, and the Life.

Thesis 23

Since Jesus rose again from the dead He studies the Bible diligently in order to know Himself better.

Thesis 24

Jesus said to His befuddled disciples once, “You are already clean because of the word I have spoken to you.” Then He added, ‘No, hold on a second, sorry, what I meant was, you are already clean because of the testimony of men in the Bible that will come 200 years later, full of conflicting views of God where adultery, war, disobedience, death, retribution and condemnation is blended together with love, compassion, forgiveness, life and mercy as one indelible enduring inerrant edible word.” The Bible mocks Jesus everyday it is allowed to influence His children in the Holy Place of the Body of His Words.

Thesis 25

Did Paul say? “I am bread from heaven, feed off me and you will know God. Yes, urhm, don't worry about it. It sounds idolatrous I know. But since you are feeding off me anyway I thought there was some truth in it. I mean, my words are God breathed and therefore come from heaven and since you are digesting them in your spirit, I erh, thought of bread. So, you are feeding off words from heaven as if it is bread for your spirit! See! I supply you with spiritual food from heaven because my words are equal with Christ since we share the same platform of truth! So, I Paul, am the bread from heaven (as well as Jesus of course! -we mustn't forget Jesus otherwise He might get upset) and you must feed on me because I said that all scripture is God-breathed. God loves a person who lifts himself up to be equal with Jesus.”

No, Paul did not say this. He lifted up Jesus to his friends and enemies; he didn't lift himself up. But the sad people who compiled the Bible have placed the words of a sinner in a position where they are forced to ‘say’ they are equal to the canon of Jesus words. This elevation of Paul's letters would be very troublesome to Paul if he were alive today.

Thesis 26

Did God instruct you not to eat from the tree of knowledge that would make you wise? ‘Yes,’ Eve replied, ‘He instructed us not to even touch it.’ ‘Tee he,’ replied the snake, ‘the words of men are seductive, aren't they?’ ‘Yes,’ said Eve, ‘they're easier to swallow than Jesus' words. Give them to me then so that I can fill my life with words of men and DIE!’

Thesis 27

“You will truly be my disciples if you hold on to my Bible,” Jesus said once. True or False?

Thesis 28

By the way, is it not possible that Jesus presented Moses and Elijah to His dumbstruck disciples to teach them about His authority in eternity? That He, the Holy Anointed One, has the authority to give eternal life to whomever His Father directs. Do you think that Christ used the words from the Bible to bring Moses and Elijah into the presence of the disciples? Did He not use His own voice to explain to the disciples who these people were who appeared in dazzling raiment? The shocked disciples probably didn't immediately recognise who these men were unless they heard Jesus, the Author of Life, call these men by name into their presence? Will you also be transfigured by Christ and brought into His presence by His voice or do you think that you will be transfigured and brought into His presence by the voices of sinners who were dumb about God? When it comes to raising the dead, the voice of authority either knows where his authority comes from or he doesn't but the voice that doesn't know its authority will not be raising anything from the dead; not even an ant. Study carefully what Jesus meant about the authority of His voice when He said, “I tell you the truth, if anyone keeps my word, he will never see death.” There's that sinless possessive pronoun again, ‘My’, which doesn't mean ‘our’ when it comes to describing what Jesus spoke. In other words, Jesus did not say, “If anyone

keeps 'our' word – all the errant voices in the Bible - he will never see death.”

Thesis 29

I am a man. The words I speak are the words of a man. I point towards Jesus and say to you, “Don't believe a single word I have spoken because it is not the source of truth. The source of all truth is Jesus.” You are a man/woman. The way you respond to my words are just words of a man or a woman. I won't believe a single word you speak back to me because it is not the source of truth. We are men and women who agree on the fact that the source of all truth is Jesus and we love Him together. We don't love the Bible because it is a record of men and woman speaking words that are not always necessarily the source of truth. People's words may 'reflect' the source of truth but the source has His own voice, thank you very much. Do you understand yet that the Bible is a deflection of God and has been deflecting the truth away from Christ since it was compiled?

Thesis 30

If mankind fell because of a punch up in heaven between the words of a man and the words from God's own mouth, whose words are going to keep mankind falling?

Thesis 31

What will God do when He returns to gather in the fruit of His Son? First, He will put an axe to the root of the tree of knowledge, the words of men, and cut down all the weeds that have grown around this tree and then He will pick the fruit from Jesus, the Tree of Life, and plant them in His garden where the weeds once caused darkness by choking the Light.

Thesis 32

“Hello, I'm God, I've arrived as your eminent book of records has predicted. Now, please read my lips. Stop killing each

other like they did in the Old Days when ignorant men used my name in vain.”

Go away God! We have the Bible to teach us how to condemn, destroy and remain in sin!

Thesis 33

John said, “Whoever claims to live in Him must walk as Jesus did.” Now, since it is alleged all scripture is God-breathed, these words therefore are part of an exhortation by God Himself and He is saying to us that if we claim to be Christians we must walk as Jesus did. Now, if God says it and we are not doing as God says, then we are walking in disobedience. God doesn't say something like this for fun. So, how many here walk as Jesus did? None? Six, twenty five? One thousand four hundred and forty four thousand? Ooh, God has a very small family then.

Did Elijah walk as Jesus did, of whom He said was sometimes wrong in his actions and yet stood with Jesus on the mount?

The doctrine of Biblical authority is rotting fish that comes from Roman and Jewish writings but the authority of Jesus' words is pure, wholesome, awesome, mighty, gracious, loving, beautiful and liberating. If you eat Jesus you will be truly free. Eat the words of sinners and you will be in bondage to the thoughts of sinners. Sinners bind each other up in petty Godless laws, traditions, regulations, teachings, doctrines, theologies and systems of thinking because the nature of sin is to DOMINATE like they do in the world. Certainly, if you feel this theses is domineering - chuck it in the bin.

Thesis 34

The very first law is about worship and God gave this law for reasons that have not been understood by many since. The purpose of worship has been totally derailed by the authority of the Bible that demands we worship it instead of worshipping Christ who is buried within it. I cannot think of anything more

insulting to God and to the first commandment than to worship Christ as an appendage in a book.

Thesis 35

When God expressed His love for His own creation and let evil men kill Him because of their offence at His testimony of Love & Truth do you think He is going to adapt His testimony to fit into the scriptures of men at His judgement seat when He returns soon to separate the wheat from the tares?

Thesis 36

The Romans were experts at stuffing words down men's throats and they did this too very good effect in their theatres of entertainment where ideas were stuffed into people's minds through the political language of drama. Ideas about the supremacy of their ideology and religion were conveyed through dramatic battles scenes depicting the mighty arm of Rome defeating the enemies of the people thus preserving their paternal role in the mind of the plebeians they exploited. Christians were perceived as enemies of the State because of their allegiance to Christ instead of the Emperor and they too had to be slaughtered in the theatre as entertainment for the humanist spirit. They also facilitated the construction of a book that stuffs words of men down God's throat. They used lions that are a symbol and mark of the Ashur cult, of Assyrian Kings who believed they were descendents of the priesthood of Ashur and looked upon benevolently by the goddess of love, war and confusion Ishtar who was given absolute divine power by the lord of vows and decider of heaven Shamash. Such a triumvirate of divine reasons for power formed the core of Assyria's religious basis for going to war, just as it did in the way God is characterised in the Old Testament as a warring God by a people who had never seen or heard God and who 'believed they were doing a service to God by killing people.' God is not a warring God and He has never instructed anyone to kill another person; this was the way of sin before Jesus

came to take away sin. The Roman theatre of entertainment was also the way of sin. Those who constructed the Bible have concurred with a script of lies about God that has caused mayhem, division and destruction in Christ's name ever since. Christ rejects this book as a true reflection of His Father; just as He rejects the Qur'an its warring cousin.

Thesis 37

With the enormous help of the words in the Old Testament, that the Jews believed to be God breathed, ideas were used by the teachers of these words to drag God's Son into the courtroom of men by ropes and swords where He was judged guilty for expressing Truth & Love.

Thesis 38

The words, deeds and imaginations of men are equal to God in authority because man has labelled them as Holy Scripture. And what man has decreed as Holy is therefore as Holy as God Himself. Put yourself in God's shoes as He put Himself in yours and humble yourself before God who will not bow down to the killing words of men a second time round.

Thesis 39

The marriage supper of the Lamb is about the joining together of the bride and the bridegroom in a solemn marriage ceremony where the bride spends all her time listening to the bridegroom talking about Himself so that when the rapture occurs the bride will recognise the bridegroom. The Bible is the voice of many men and none of these are the bridegroom but the bride has been spending all her time listening to voices from the pit of sin. This must end, now that the bridegroom is on His way to collect the bride for the feast.

So, who's your bridegroom today? The mediating voice of Adam's spirit that questioned the authority of Christ or the mediating voice of Christ Himself who eternally questions the spirit of Adam?

Thesis 40

“I tell you the truth, unless you can eat the flesh of the Son of Man and drink His blood, you have no life in you.”

What the early scholars did to this plea from God is to totally emasculate its meaning by teaching an interpretation that not only led the church astray but reduced the significance and import of Jesus' life and blood to the vagaries of symbolism. This almost desperate plea comes at a precise moment in His narrative where He is trying to elevate the singularity of His voice in a world full of many misleading voices (if you read it in the context of the flow of Jesus narrative you will notice He increases the volume of His metaphor to a deafening pitch so that His hearers, both then and now, would not find an easy escape from the command to feed off Him as a person). He uses the analogy of bread first to describe His words as food then He extends the meaning to include His flesh so that the focus on His life is non-negotiable.

“If anyone eats of this bread, he will live for ever. This bread is my flesh which I give for the life of the world.”

By lumping together His flesh with the bread the meaning of the analogy puts a tight restriction on a loose exegesis and denies the hearer a chance to stretch the the analogy to mean anything else but His words.

But God, Oh dear God, You wanted to avoid symbolism here as a thing to be worshipped but rather that we worship You here as a person in eternity who never dies.

By using this analogy, God eliminates ambiguity about the connection between the meaning of bread and the meaning of His voice but His hearers, if you read their response, they took the analogy to mean literally His flesh and ‘From this time many of His disciples turned back and no longer followed Him.’”

What the church forefathers extrapolated from this is a meaning that virtually destroys God's purposes for mankind. God's purpose for mankind is to feed off the words and life of Jesus and not off the words and life of people who have given us theories and doctrines as a cradle for the birth of the Bible or

the Qur'an The traditions of men has trashed this purpose by relegating to symbolic status the meaning of God's reference to His own flesh and blood and therefore to something that always means whatever the symbolism conveys outside the tight narrative of His words. The compass setting of truth is pulled by the magnet of man's obsession with worshipping the symbolic and the ritual instead of the person. Christ has come to change the compass setting to point to Him- NOT THE BIBLE - as originally intended.

Thesis 41

I received a response from a Bishop once who gave me the opinion that it is OK to kill people and I quote, "There are occasions when people's personal sacrifice in the cause of others demonstrates that 'greater love hath no man than this, than to lay down his life for his friends.' Tragically, the innocent suffer - but sometimes the lesser evil is to risk this on those rare occasions when it can be argued that the war is just." According to this dear brother in Christ, we have God's authority to kill innocent people on the strength of assessing the risk to our own livelihood and our own lives that are eternal. And, whilst thugs strike down the little children with our missiles and bombs we can be comforted by the words, 'Tragically, the innocent suffer....,' knowing that by God's authority we have robbed someone of the opportunity to receive Christ's forgiveness for their sins. Perhaps this teacher believes that God instructs His creation to kill each other as they did in the Old Testament times and that we must obey world authorities because the canon says so? However, it was these two grotesque Biblical canons, namely world authorities and religious teachers, that colluded together to murder God's Son. And it is their types who use the Bible today as their authority to wage war. Nothing to do with God whatsoever. This is what happens when you eat the spirit of men (the Bible - the wrong tree in the Garden of Eden) instead of feasting off the Bread of Life (the right tree in the Garden of Eden).

Now surely Jesus laid down His life for us so that we would not go on killing each other. He did not lay down His life to set an example of becoming martyrs in the killing fields of men. There is no evidence He died with a sword in His hand while slaying others. Christ did not command us to fight in the flesh; the battle is not of the flesh but of the spirit. This is what happens when people worship the Bible instead of worshipping the Lord of heaven. To worship a book compiled by a Roman culture that worshipped a god of war, destruction, narcissism and vanity is to worship the spirit behind it.

Thesis 42

Jesus kept reminding His disciples that He was going to be betrayed 'into the hands of men' and killed. They were sorely upset by this until Peter got frustrated one day and challenged Him for being negative, but Jesus rebuked him saying, 'Get behind me Satan!' This must have been hard for Peter to swallow, he was simply being protective towards a friend. Even though the disciples were clearly made aware of what God foreknew would be the outcome of His preaching to the Old Testament, that its adherents and its worshippers would eventually kill Him for revealing the true nature of God, their minds were made up. Persistently Jesus kept re-assuring His disciples that He would rise again from the dead because He knew also the Old Testament man of death and destruction would finally be exposed as a lie when it failed to destroy both His testimony and His life; the Life of His Words and of His Spirit concurrently. He knew also His testimony, which came from heaven and not from the Bible, would have the power to raise Him up from His grave.

If you read carefully the disciples reaction to His re-assurance about rising from the dead you will notice a blanket of silence like a cloud over their minds; there is no comment. Their Old Testament learning had given them a twisted theology, an Old Testament portrayal of God that was more about killing people than raising them from the dead. The source of inspiration that

had inspired their teachers gave them a spirit that could not understand the Spirit of God who stood talking directly to their spirits. With an internalised script embedded in their minds from texts considered as canonical, holy and inerrant, their teachers were motivated to rid the world of this heretic Jesus from the day He first opened His mouth in public until His very last breath. It must have been very difficult for the disciples who were surrounded by such men. Men who scoffed, ridiculed, accused and attacked Him daily from their dignified office of priesthood, as the disciples' 'official' representatives of God. But Jesus, knowing all the spiritual forces at work within the spirit of man, the spirit of the Old Testament, nevertheless tried to re-assure them about the validity of His voice that says, "God's love prevails even beyond the erroneous canon of the Old Testament."

When Jesus appeared to His disciples as the unkillable Son of God He had hoped they would no longer put their trust in the Old Testament as a reliable source for understanding the true nature and character of God, a source that had inspired His murderers, but instead turn to His testimony as He had repeatedly begged them to do throughout His life.

The Bible as canon, on the other hand, has been leading men and women ever since to feed off the spirit of man, the very source that Jesus' resurrection testifies as His total enemy.

Thesis 43

How will believers recognise Christ when He calls them out of their graves if they have spent all their time listening to other testimonies about Him that are lies?

When Jesus rebuked James and John for suggesting they imitate Elijah and call down fire from heaven to destroy the Samaritan village that didn't welcome them did He not say, "The Son of Man did not come to destroy men's lives but to save them." If God is saying that He does not come to destroy, what in heaven's name were the Old Testament people doing when they killed their neighbours believing it was God who

instructed them? Was not James and John influenced by the Old Testament way when they asked Jesus if they could call fire down from heaven? Jesus made it very clear that this is nothing to do with God His Father.

If Christians live their lives by the testimony of men believing them to be the 'inerrant word of God' they will most certainly have no place in God's kingdom if they end up imitating them instead of imitating Christ.

Thesis 44

In His frequent encounter with the teachers of the law Jesus quoted from the scriptures to show them the error in their thinking. Most commentators infer from this that because Jesus referred to the scriptures, He must therefore endorse all the words and all the deeds of men in those days as being inspired by God. This inference is very crooked. For, by way of an illustration of how God's love works, if you look at your own life and write down all the words and deeds you have said and done in your life, could you argue they are all God-breathed? Even though, God has loved you all this time and has watched over you, waiting for you to submit to the words of Christ (the ultimate expression of His love for you) can you honestly say that every word and every deed in your life has been a perfect portrayal of God?

Whilst watching over you, do you think because He might pluck some occasional deed or some particular word from your own script that He is pleased with, He automatically endorses everything else you said and did as being in agreement with His will? The nature of His love is that He covers your sin while you have an opportunity to come to receive redemption from the punishment for your sin. Once the redemption in Christ has been given by Him and you receive it thankfully with great rejoicing you are empowered to obey and sin no more. All this takes place while we are living, and, while it is happening He continues to speak to us with words from his own mouth so that we learn more.

Now, before you were born again by the Spirit of God your script would have been generally not very honouring to God but after the rekindling of your spirit by the breath of God you no longer stand in judgment of the things you said and did that you knew displeased God. God's atonement transferred you from certain death into certain life.

So, the scripture of your life, full of ungodly acts and ungodly deeds is removed when you became a new creature in Christ. Do you think then that God is going to use this scripture of your old life as a basis for showing us a true portrayal of His character, His mind, and His nature? God treated Israel no differently from the way in which HE has graciously treated you but to argue that all Israel's scripture is God-breathed and by analogy that all your past scripture is God-breathed is silly and it would offend God to thrust your life's scripture in His face and say 'EAT THIS OH GOD, this is your life script also. Israel has not received the redemption you have received and their scripture remains as a testimony against them, as a life script that is dishonouring to God. And it will remain like this until Israel has it removed by the blood of the Lamb. So, how can it all be God-breathed before it has been redeemed? Are you still stubborn that Jesus is the Bible?

Thesis 45

If I told you that my Uncle had got it right once when he told you something about me would you go running to my Uncle every time you wanted to learn more about me just because he got it right once? What if, in listening to his other words about me, you begin to see other things that don't match what I am saying about myself? Even though I confirmed he did get some things right about me would you totally rely on him if I told you he had never seen me? Would you analyse and search every script he wrote about me and compare his testimony with mine to arrive at a balanced view of my personality and character if I told you also that I am incapable of lying but my Uncle is not incapable of lying?

Such is the insult to Christ when we compare Him with the Old Testament and with the thoughts and writings of ‘Uncles’.

Thesis 46

When Jesus gave the Ten Commandments to Moses do you think that He was conscious of the Bible while giving it, knowing that He would, one day, have to adjust the Law to fit into Paul's teaching about love and church life?

Thesis 47

“They will put you out of the synagogue; in fact, a time is coming when anyone who kills you will think he is offering a service to God. They will do such things because they have not known the Father or me.” John 16 v 2-3

Did the Old Testament man who believed God was instructing him to kill his neighbours ‘think he was offering a service to God’ and he did ‘such things because he knew the Father or Jesus?’ What is the answer do you think?

Is it Yes. The Old Testament man knew God well enough to know He was instructing him to kill his neighbour?

Or is it No. The Old Testament man did not know God at all and as a result did such things that offended Christ? “No-one has seen the Father except the one who is from God; only he has seen the Father.” Jn6:46 If God came later and said categorically that no-one has seen the Father except the Son what did the Old Testament men see when they believed God instructed them to kill people?

There is a very serious contention here. Either God can be seen as true in the Old Testament or not. By all accounts it was the Old Testament Scripture that inspired the Pharisee to plan the death of God's Son because their belief in the inerrancy of Sacred Texts giving them canonical authority to punch God in the face and spit on Him, just as their forefathers had done to people they deemed as weeds in the field, as sinners and blasphemers in their time.

The contention is between, a): the men in the Old Testament who killed people because they did not know God and, b): Jesus who did not endorse men to take each other's lives and between, c): some Roman theological scholars rooted in a culture that endorsed territorial expansion by killing and mayhem as divine and, d): Jesus Christ who was not influenced by such a barbaric and primitive culture and between, e): the Godlessness of humanism whose spirits soak up the violence of their primitive historical narrative as food for social action and the Godliness of Christ whose Spirit drank from the Law that came to deliver man from his primitive state of sin and violence. This canon called the Bible is a poisonous chalice to every generation.

Thesis 48

The Body of Christ is the Body of His words for the Word became Flesh and dwelt among us. Did the body of Moses who received the Law become the living Law and walk among us as a fulfilment of the Law? Did the body of Elisha who sometimes received inspiration to obey God become the living Law and walk among us as a fulfilment of the Law? Did the body of Peter who received the responsibility of feeding the flock with Jesus' words become the living Law and walk among us as a fulfilment of the Law?

Did the Romans have any understanding of the effect their idolatry would have upon the living Law when they demoted the Body of God's Words to be equal with the body of men's words? No, they didn't. But they do now.

Thesis 49

With what can I compare the Kingdom of God? It is like the birth of a spirit made in God's image that is dragged along by a body of sin. The body of sin is the old wineskin that is kept intact by the body of men's words. The image of God remains as a chrysalis trapped in this old wineskin. The Spirit of God comes along and speaks to the chrysalis saying, "Hi, I made

you with my hands, I loved you in eternity when I made you in my image. Let me breath on you with my breath, let me bring you out of your body of sin with the words from my mouth and set you free to fly around in my Garden.” The chrysalis replies, “The Bible says I will never be free from this body of sin so how can I believe you?” “Oh, little one!” cries the Spirit of God, “I made you with my own hands, surely you can trust my words! My words are my honour and my honour is my bond and my bond is eternal. Please put your trust in my words. You see, the Body of my words is my image, this is the new wineskin waiting for you to wear. And I promise I will keep your new wineskin intact, my perfect image, as long as you trust the Body of my words and not the body of men’s words. These words are the ones I spoke as the Spirit of God who came down from heaven to set you free!” The chrysalis replies, “But Paul said.....It says in Romans....chapter 193 verse 6890” “Damn, damn, damn the Bible,” says the Spirit of God, “I tell you now. As your Creator, part of this book is a lie from the pit of darkness! Come now, feed off my words.” This chrysalis eventually died of spiritual suffocation because he chose to be inspired by lies.

Thesis 50

Then Jesus came to them and said, “All authority in heaven and on earth has been given to me.”

Concentrate your mind on two words in this statement; ‘all’ and ‘me’. Just for a moment, please try and give Christ some credit for speaking the truth. When He said ‘all’ He didn’t mean ‘shared’ authority. If God wanted to tell us that His authority was shared with other beings He would have said so plainly, without ambiguity and equivocation. It was never Christ’s style or method to speak with forked tongue. Here, He is making it as plain as a pitch fork that only HE has ALL authority.

How then does He fit into a Canon that demands He shares His authority with others?

Thesis 51

At the beginning when God created Adam in His likeness an eternal relationship was brought into existence that depended solely on the authority of God's voice. The authority of God's voice is revealed to us in Christ who gave us a full and final expression of this voice so that we would fully depend on God's voice again for our eternal relationship with God. The choice is still the same as it was for Adam except this time we have no excuse if we continue to do what Adam did and let the voice of man counsel us in our response to Christ, the Living Word who is the Holy Spirit.

Thesis 52

Some theologians argue that when Jesus used the possessive pronoun 'my', to tell us who owns His eternal words, He didn't mean they belonged exclusively to Him but to countless other spirits who have each been given an eternal place to share in the possession of the authority of His words that pre-existed time and space. We must do as God says because Moses, Joshua, Peter, Hosea and Paul said so.

Jesus endured a harsh death to demonstrate the exclusivity of Him possessing the authority to teach the Truth. Truth has an authority of it's own since only One man in the universe is capable of speaking it but when mediators attempt to muscle in on a role that is exclusively Jesus's the authority of God becomes indistinguishable from the authority and ego of man. The authority of God delivers from sin the one who looks no further than Jesus as his teacher but the authority grows weak the more one looks further away toward the often nebulous and downright misleading reflections of the truth found in the Bible.

Thesis 53

If God inspired the Bible with His Spirit, it would mean all of it is eternal because God is eternal because everything that God produces is eternal. So what did Jesus mean when He said,

“heaven and Earth will pass away but my words will not pass away”?

Surely, as God knows the past, present and the future, He would know about the Bible that came a century or two later and He would have mentioned it thus, “heaven and Earth will pass away but my words plus the words of some men compiled in a book will not pass away.”

There are further refutations of the notion of an inerrant Bible that need to be considered. For example, “I praise you, Father, Lord of heaven and earth, because you have hidden these things from the wise and learned, and revealed them to little children. Yes, Father, for this was your good pleasure.” He goes onto to develop what precisely has been kept hidden and from whom. “All things have been committed to me by my Father. No-one knows the Father except the Son and those to whom the Son chooses to reveal Him. Come to me, all you who are weary and burdened, and I will give you rest.”

It would seem God has been kept hidden from everyone up until the time He spoke as the Son and that only the Son can reveal God. The Bible however tells us that all those who came before Him were speaking from knowing God because all scripture is God inspired but if they didn't know the Father how could they know that what they were hearing came from Him? It is true they lived their lives by faith and in faith they wrote things down they thought were an accurate and reliable account of God but God said later, “No-one knows the Father...” So, how can the account of God be infallible when it is written down by people who didn't know Him until Jesus came?

If Jesus had already revealed the Father to all those who came before Him, their scripture would have testified to this but it didn't. Instead, their scripture testified to a God of their imagination who gives people authority to kill each other and it was with this so called divinely inspired authority that the Pharisee forced God's own flesh and blood to bow His head to a most disgraceful and dishonest script.

Thesis 54

The Bible will not be sitting with Christ on His judgement seat because He didn't breath all of it into the minds of men. This diabolical idea is due to the words of disciples being given, by Roman decree, the same divine authority as Jesus Himself to be equal with God as our teacher and judge.

Thesis 55

When Jesus bowed His head and breathed His last do we understand this to signify the last moment when He could no longer tell us about God? If so, it would seem as if He was cut off mid sentence, in the prime of His life, well before He had the proper opportunity to expound the truth? Does it look as if history has put a line through His appearance on Earth and deleted Him from our consciousness as just another teacher with a 'message'? However, Jesus had a lot to say about knowledge; where it comes from; what the source is; how it is acquired; and the ontological relationship between existence and knowledge. He spoke of these relationships as having eternal properties which nothing can alter. Furthermore, knowing He would rise again from the dead, He spoke of these things as if He knew He would be in a position to continue teaching and explaining their meanings well into the future of human development. His words indicate that He was not cut off mid-sentence but that He had completed the task of revealing everything there is to know about God and man. "Just as the living Father sent me and I live because of the Father, so the one who feeds on me will live because of me." Knowledge of God is acquired by eating words but not all words come from a reliable source and Jesus was sent primarily to bring a reliable script or logos that could endure the destructive influence of a man-centred script beyond the grave into which their script had directed them to put Him. Because the Living Christ still speaks this knowledge, as if He were still walking the streets of every city and town, all the living power of God, His Spirit without measure, is invested in

them. The same power that raised Jesus from the dead, which is the inextinguishable life and Spirit of God, is an inviolate and inseparable part of Jesus' exposition concerning the transfer of knowledge from heaven to Earth. This is not just about the metaphysical probability of the effect of some audible sound patterns upon the outcome of human will power, which is the machinations of scientists servile to elite political regimes, but about a living experience for all those who come to feed off the living Christ as their teacher and therefore their knowledge giver.

All the power of God is invested in Jesus' words. Why? Read Jesus and find out. But beware, the Bible is like a quagmire of voices that sucks the human spirit into feeding off other human spirits that are NOT sent from Heaven.

At the resurrection Christ will be using the authority of the words He has already spoken. "There is a judge for the one who rejects me and does not accept my words; that very word which I spoke will condemn him at the last day."

Knowledge of Christ is the key and the Holy Spirit (Jesus) is the one who unlocks the door to understanding Him by "taking from what is His and making it known to you." All knowledge belongs to Jesus and He will make it known to you if you humble yourself by letting go of the Bible, the Qur'an, or the Little Red Book, or the sayings of Buddha.

Thesis 56

When the early church fathers facilitated the compilation of the Bible they rejected anyone as a heretic who argued that the words of Christ should be pre-eminent in their thinking as the One who judges all men's thoughts and all men's deeds. As a result, they produced a book that gave them (spirit of man) authority to rule in matters of faith, discipline, doctrine, teaching, liturgy etc by pressing together the evil ways of men with the perfect way of God into one authority that gave them what they thought was a divine mandate to impose their control of a man-made religion through fear, intimidation and death.

Thesis 57

When God said that no-one can come to Him other than through Himself as the Son of Man do you think He was telling a little fib? Was He hiding the truth that we can come to Him another way through a book called the Bible, and it's commentaries and additional teaching, which came later?

“Oh sorry Peter, James, John, Matthew and the thousands who followed Me, who heard me testify about myself and the Father, I should have warned you that I only partially told you the truth. I only partially explained where the Old Testament had partially got it right about Me and I only partially commanded you to feed off Me and only Me because I only partially understood the consequence of feeding off the unreliability of the Old Testament. I have entrusted the revealing of God therefore to subsequent generations as an unfolding phenomenon since I was quite incapable of revealing all myself. The rest will follow later, over an unspecified period, and who knows how long this uncertain period of not knowing all the truth will go on for? I have entrusted all truth to be meted out over an unspecified time until I gather home all those who have had no equal chance to be fully informed about Me.”

There is only one way of knowing God and that is through Jesus. Who in hell put the Bible in His way?

Thesis 58

One day I decided to gather up all the thoughts and imaginations of men and women who have seen the skies since the beginning of time. It was an easy task sifting through their writings and words because as a journalist who has journeyed through the heavens for eternity I quickly saw that all of them hadn't a clue what they were talking about whenever they mentioned my name. I sent messengers to them to explain things but they killed them. I decided to go myself, thinking that they would respect my words but I was horrified when they tried to shove their imaginations in my face, threatening

me with the ultimatum that if I didn't believe their imaginations they would kill me!

I let them kill me, hoping that by coming back to life by the power of my own words, they would believe my words. But they didn't. Would you believe it! They still didn't believe my words, even though I let them see the ignominy of my death and the joy of my resurrection to prove beyond doubt the reliability of my voice! They then added insult to my injury and created a book that continued to flaunt their imaginations in my face! And the insolence! They called this book, 'Words from my own lips!'

Now, as I make my way back to them to show them my anger, I thought I would give them an early warning, so that the last could be first.

Thesis 59

“No-one has seen the Father except the one who is from God; only he has seen the Father.”

Again Jesus is referring to Himself when He is talking about the singular person as the only one who has seen God. But the way the canon of the Biblical texts retrofit the testimony of Christ to the testimony of men and women who hadn't even seen God suggests the people who decided on the canon didn't quite understand the pain God went through to steer mankind toward the uniqueness, inviolable separateness and holiness of His own testimony He gave through His Son. These blind people have canonised the very thing that Christ came to save us from.

The separation between Jesus' testimony and the testimony of all men is as wide as a chasm but what the Biblical canon attempts to do is wipe out the chasm by appropriating Jesus reference to men's testimonies as a spiritual bridge across the chasm. The canon creates a double-minded man who is beset by a spiritual veneer made up of a two ply plank of opposing testimonies – on one side you have the testimony of men based on the lives of people who had not seen God and on the other a

testimony of the Son who had seen the Father. As one ply pulls against the other the veneer breaks, the two irreconcilable testimonies pull the mind in two different directions until the soul, that has entertained the two opposing spirits that indwell the testimonies, eventually becomes pitifully split into two personalities. Many Christians I have met are divided in themselves by two opposing characterisations of God, which is exactly the effect of a book that is divided in itself. One day I am condemned as a blasphemer and excluded from their church, even though I have worshipped Christ and prayed with them in tears, and the next day re-assured they 'love me'. I weep for them still, for they are confused.

Thesis 60

At the end of time when both heaven and earth pass away you can be secure in the knowledge that your Bible will be preserved in a bomb proof shelter so that you can refer to it when Jesus judges the 12 tribes of Israel. The tribes will have to answer to Paul's words, by jove! Words they've never heard and will never hear.

Thesis 61

About 1800 years ago, but a phlegm of time, God did not inspire the compilation of a book so that it could influence the compass setting of His creation the previous forty trillion years. The eternal Holy Spirit of God humbled Himself as a frail and single man by taking on all the attributes of an obedient Son of God so that we can have the joy of seeing our relationship with our Father as in a mirror. In the Bible, on the other hand, we have a picture of God that is completely different. Eternity is not bound by the sinful misrepresentation of God but those who put their trust in it are.

Thesis 62

Without there being a baseline against which all values are measured, judgement becomes an abstract word. If the baseline

is the same for all justice then the judgement meted out to Saddam Hussein for killing his own people should be equally employed against George Bush and Tony Blair for indirectly killing people in his country. Part of the problem is that an Establishment on one side believes the Bible is inerrant and can be used to condemn 'sinners' to death whilst the Establishment on the other side believe the Qur'an is inerrant and can be used to condemn 'infidels' to their deaths. In each case, the words and imaginations of men, the father of lies, produce a situation of gross hypocrisy on both sides. Satan, the spirit of man, loves every minute of it because destruction of life by the hands of men has always been the ambition of the spirit that has opposed Christ since the beginning. Jesus' weeps over a CHURCH that has allowed man-made scripture to become part of the problem.

Thesis 63

The Word became flesh and dwelt among us forever. But according to Serapion we can ask the Word to come upon the sacramental offering of bread and wine to make them 'the body of the Word', 'the blood of the Truth'. This idea that the bread on the church's altar is believed to become, as if by metaphysical transformation, 'the likeness of the holy body of the Lord' conveys a completely loopy kind of interpretation that 'scholarly' theologians in the Roman times were dumping on people as 'authoritative' teaching at this time. They have completely led the church astray with regard to the connection between the bread and the words of Christ. The interpretation, even today, takes the flesh of Christ literally as being edible by proxy in the form of eating a symbol representing it.

What Christ laboured so hard to communicate to His dumbstruck disciples in John 6 is that the body of His words were to be their sole source of mental, spiritual, emotional, rational and intellectual intake. He never intended a canon should take over the role of being their intake.

What Roman and Jewish thinkers have done, with their intellectual and political power in full flight between bouts of insanity, is to not only lumber the body of Jesus' words with a backpack of unwholesome and unholy body of words that largely contend with Him but also hinder the work of the Holy Spirit by diverting attention away from Him toward the spirit of man. This comes close to the work of a magician who draws attention to one hand while working deception with another. A praesidium of shared authority has been set up in the Holy Place where God is not only obliged to share the authority of His own words with the words of sinners but also to hear His name used in vain to give credibility to doctrines that suggest the body of His words (the Logos) need to depend on a symbolic ceremony of eating bread and wine as a channel for discharging the awesome power of His Spirit upon all flesh. The fact of the matter is the Word became flesh so that we could eat the words not the flesh.

The marriage supper of the Lamb is about the eating of His words not the eating of symbols that Christ used as an illustration for eating His words. The illustration has become, thanks to the likes of Serapion and other ridiculous Roman thinkers, a ritual that defeats the purpose Christ used it for.

Thesis 64

I recommend to all my Christian brothers and sisters to take up arms and defend their country against the next evil nation. Which nation shall we choose today as the axis of evil? Germany? France? Scotland? Spain? Isle of Man? The Saxons? Russia? Egypt? Zulus? Iran? Don't worry about offending your Husband because hasn't He delegated to sinners the responsibility of being Judge and Executioner, who, being somewhat smarter and more holy than He, are much better equipped to pull up the weeds that have grown up in His Kingdom? This is what the Bible inspires men to do and it is like sewage outside the city gates of Jerusalem.

So, when your country goes to war, rest assured that God's servants, full of man's duplicitous spirit, have gone before you to show you the way, the lie and the death.

Thesis 65

The Word that became flesh and lived among us is of course the Bible. The Bible hovered over the Earth when it was void of life and said, "Kill, kill, kill, kill and condemn and judge each other. Get rid of evil in the midst of the void! Make way for a priesthood to rule the earth with an ironclad double-minded doctrine of love and hate. Come, my attractive bride and write laws and decrees that splatter our wedding clothes in human tissue and blood. For, have I not decreed, before the heavens were formed, that the Bible should embalm souls in the grave clothes of men's fury, rage and anger, of the sin of cuckolds and murderers, of the sin of seeking Kings and Judges to rule over my bride instead of loving Me and one another as I commanded?"

Did not the Lord Jesus Christ have the Bible written in His heart as His inerrant Way, Truth and the Life that pre-existed time and space? Nope.

Thesis 66

When Jesus said, "I speak on my own," did He actually mean something else? Perhaps He meant that He doesn't speak on His own but together with lots of other voices from heaven? Which voice does He speak together with? Hannah's voice? Hosea's voice? My voice? If the Lord of all speaks together with a host of other voices, who's going to be the one who chooses which of these voices He speaks together with? Will He give the honour of choosing which voices to Bishop Donner - the spirit that crucified Christians? Shall He give the honour of choosing to The Ecumenical Council of Constantinople? To Origen? To Basil the Great? To Augustine? To Pope Urban II who committed the church to atrocities in the Crusades? To Basildon Baptist Congregation?

To the Council of Trent? Who is going to choose then, from all the spirits in creation, which of these enumerable voices is going to be the lucky lottery winner for joining with Christ in His testimony about Himself?

Thesis 67

“You are ready to kill me, because you have no room for my word. I am telling you what I have seen in the Father's presence, and you do what you have heard from your father.”

From what book do you think the teachers of scripture had heard from their father whose teaching taught them to kill Jesus? What source material was God referring to when He said, “what you have heard?” Was it not the ‘word of God’, the old part of the Bible?

What was Jesus referring to when He said they had no room for His word, was He talking about a filing cabinet? Was this room so full of other words not inspired by Him that they had no space left for Jesus? Their minds were so full of rubbish that it is no small wonder their attitude toward Jesus was rubbish. Did they have the Mind of Christ by eating words of men in the Old Testament? And we feed His sheep with these same words today. Boy, is God going to be angry!

Thesis 68

“If I testify about myself, my testimony is not valid. There is another who testifies in my favour, and I know that his testimony about me is valid. You have sent to John and he has testified to the truth. Not that I accept human testimony; but I mention it that you may be saved.”

The rest of this passage is even more revealing since it shows that Jesus does not rely on the words of men for His testimony. He Himself said that there is another who testifies in His favour and, “I know that HIS testimony is valid.” Jesus refers to HIS testimony. He didn't refer to THEIR testimony. The 'HIS' testimony He refers to is His Father. So, why do we have a Book full of human testimonies telling us about God when

Jesus Himself tells us there is only One who testifies on His behalf? When Jesus mentioned John He realized that His listener may interpret this to mean that He relied on men for His testimony. As He understands how men think He immediately threw in a phrase that looks like a refutation of their thinking because it starts with “Not”. “Not that I accept human testimony.”

The Romans turned the ‘human testimony’ part of scripture into their canon of authority because in it they could see a reflection of themselves. They were always a rather vain, egotistical, murderous lot, so it is not surprising to see how they have made the lives of sinful men equal with Christ.

Thesis 69

“All this I have spoken while still with you. But the Counsellor, the Holy Spirit, whom the Father will send in my name, will teach you all things and will remind you of everything I have said to you.” ‘I have said’ is nothing to do with ‘the Bible has said.’

Thesis 70

“It is written in the Prophets: ‘They will be taught by God.’ Everyone who listens to the Father and learns from him comes to me.”

Now, what does this mean for the very few in Jesus’ time who came to Him and for the greater number who didn’t? Had only a few therefore listened to the Father and had learned from Him? Worst of all, hardly any of the experts in understanding and interpreting the scriptures of men, came to Jesus other than to harangue Him with words they thought had come from divinely inspired scriptures.

See what happens when people expose themselves to self appointed teachers of God instead of coming to Christ directly. Only a few come to Him as a result.

Thesis 71

God is good. By varying degrees on a sliding scale of enth measurements, various people would appear somewhere towards the bottom of the scale, assuming that bad starts at zero where sin abounds and finishes at 100 where there is no sin. Paul would I suppose appear on the sliding scale somewhere below God, along with Abraham, Moses, Joshua, Elijah, James and John the sons of thunder, Jonah, Peter, Silas, Aquila and Priscilla, Stephenas, Tychicus, Matthew and Chairman Mao. I imagine also the scale reaching up into heaven and somewhere pinned against the highest digit is the very best man in the history of our time who far excelled every other man in every area of his life. Let's make a statue to honour this incredibly elevated soul! Worship the man!

Now, look at an ant and marvel at his cause. He digs tunnels under your palace with thousands upon thousands of persistent claws all clamouring for posterity and fame, for recognition of their good social acts. With each claw the foundations of the most magnificent building the world has ever seen, owned by the man with many medals, is inexorably removed. The fall of it is as great as the fall of Adam. The rebuilding of it is folly because the ant has no understanding of human vanity.

God gave laws for us to obey; but not the authority to carry out their punishments. God cannot find a single 'good' person good enough to qualify for this awesome task of judging the heart.

It was necessary for Him to come in the flesh therefore to be both saviour and judge, since no-one else is capable of performing both tasks. With fine precision Jesus will perform these tasks shortly.

Come out of the Bible little children, it is killing you.

Thesis 72

“Moreover the Father judges no-one but has entrusted all judgement to the Son.”

Now, since Jesus has spoken categorically the truth about who has been entrusted with all judgement it begs the question as to on whom the Old Testament people based their theology of judgement, of crime and punishment, when they killed their neighbours and stoned to death or put to the sword members of their own family? It is not possible, therefore, to retrofit the judgement seat of Christ to a scripture spoken by men who didn't know God as the judge as if the compendium of the Old and of Christ is communicating the same truth. As Jesus says later in the same chapter.

“You have never heard His voice, nor seen His form, nor does His word dwell in you, for you do not believe the one He sent.”

Thesis 73

“You must teach what is in accord with sound doctrine Titus,” said Paul in a letter that has been made canonical by the Romans. For, according to the canon imagined by Rome, God has personally requested that you, Titus, along with Paul, are made teachers so that you can feed people with your views and ideas about how to understand Him because He regrets He is not quite up to the task of teaching the truth Himself with the words He has already spoken as the Son in eternity.

Thesis 74

Before Jesus permitted the words of men they called ‘scripture’ to crucify Him He made a final plea to humanity, hoping that His words would eventually become more important than anything else in the world. God pleaded with us one more time as He was going to the gallows, “You are right in saying I am a King. In fact, for this reason I was born, and for this I came into the world, to testify to the truth. Everyone on the side of truth listens to me.” Jesus kept pointing towards Himself but we stubbornly insist that words of men reveal God because of a book that has been pressed into the fabric of our thinking by an organisation that refused to bow down to Jesus' words.

Besides, the whole Bible isn't on the side of truth, neither was it born to be King because it demands we listen to IT instead of to CHRIST THE KING.

Thesis 75

The Universe has always been in existence because God is always in existence. He is also The Christ who is always in existence. The words He spoke that brought all things into existence for our benefit are the same words that have always been existence. His eternal words from His own mouth became flesh, without a beginning and without an end. Everything He made is eternal and everything that belongs to Him has no beginning and no end except ALL the words of men, that from the beginning rebelled against His words. His words were expressed first as God speaking to Adam in the garden of Eden, then as the Law given to Moses, then as The Christ who manifested both God and the Law in flesh and in Spirit. Every expression of men trying to articulate God since the very beginning right up to the end of this human chapter is not eternal because only God can articulate Himself which He did in Jesus. He does not need the articulation of His own creation to tell Him who He is. Do you understand this? Christ is the articulation of God, not the Bible. The Bible is a record of men's words and men's deeds, which are not eternal and therefore are not the truth. The only record that is eternal contains words that Jesus spoke. 'heaven and Earth will pass away but My Words will remain.'

So, if the premise that supports the canonical authority of the Bible cannot rely on the sufficiency of God's portrayal of Himself but instead calls upon a kind of 2nd opinion or other perspectives conveyed by sinners, it is a premise that shamefully and incomprehensibly relies on a doctrine that questions the authority of God's own Son. In fact, as soon as the Words of Christ spread to cities around the Mediterranean, the Romans set about writing fiendish arguments for a canon to

control it from spreading beyond their myopic understanding of the purposes of God in Christ.

Thesis 76

“Do not be afraid of those who kill the body but cannot kill the soul. Rather be afraid of the One who can destroy both soul and body in hell.” Who are those who kill the body whom Jesus is talking about? Is He talking about all those men and prophets in the Old Testament who killed thousands of bodies? When He uses the word ‘those’, to which people is He referring? Is He referring to people who thought they heard the voice of God and who followed His instructions in the Old Testament and killed bodies? Are we not therefore to be afraid of those who kill bodies while under instruction from a voice that is not from God?

Is God divided against Himself? Does God give instructions to His creation to kill bodies in one time and place and then in another time and place say to us, “The Son of Man did not come to destroy men’s lives but to save them.”

The only place in the Universe where God is divided against Himself is in a man-made book called the Bible. He is not divided against Himself outside of the Bible. If you take Jesus (God) out of the Bible He is not divided against Himself. What does this say about the Bible?

Thesis 77

When Jesus told us to feed off Him, telling us plainly He was the water of life do you honestly think He is profoundly enamoured by His little flock preferring to drink out of a cistern full of sin instead? The mind of man, whether bound in a leather volume or not, is a cistern full of contaminated spiritual water. The ones who have presented the words of sinners as being as pure as the water of life have already been judged by the words of Christ in the past, in the present and in the future.

Thesis 78

“You diligently study the scriptures because you think by them you possess eternal life. These are the Scriptures that testify about me, yet you refuse to come to me to have life.”

Bible worshippers use Jesus’ reference to Scriptures as one of the kingpins for welding the Old and New Testament together but alas it is an insult to Jesus. The assumption is made that Jesus relied on the Scripture for His testimony and used them to back up His testimony in case His own witness failed. Far from it, He took what they worshipped and pointed out to them that it would be far better for them - i.e. their salvation - to worship Him since some of their Scriptures worshipped Him already! Jesus did not defer to Scriptures as His authority for proving who He is but He most certainly referred to them so that those who put their trust in the Scriptures might see the ones that point to Him and hopefully LEAVE those Scriptures behind, which cannot save them from their sin, and come and feed off His living Scripture.

The Bible makers had a totally different plan to Christ’s purpose and totally reversed all that Jesus came to establish.

Thesis 79

“I am the living bread that came down from heaven. If anyone eats of this bread, he will live forever. This bread is my flesh, which I give for the life of the world.” Why do you think Jesus kept emphasizing eating his body? Was it perhaps He foreknew that forceful men would seize upon His words and with great cunning turn them into a religion that makes people twice a son and daughter of hell than they were before? With this in mind Jesus used the strongest possible imagery/metaphor to draw us to Him just in case a book like the Bible was manufactured that actually draws us away from Him into the Old Way of thinking.

Thesis 80

The 10 commandments. Do you think God would instruct His image to break ANY of the ten commandments? What about

the prophet Hosea. Was he hearing the 'inerrant word of God' when he fornicated with an adulteress? Nah.

Do you honestly believe that God would instruct one part of creation to set a bad example to another part of His creation? Listen, you are deceived by demons if you think the lawlessness in the Old Testament is God-breathed solely because these sinful wild people said, 'And behold the Lord says thus!' But, I may be quite wrong. I may be wrong that God made a downward journey into the pit of darkness of His people to continue His duplicitous instructions and then allow darkened minds taking their cue from His duplicitous instructions to mock and abuse Him in the council of religion and state control. What did the Lord of heaven say about adultery and destruction anyhow? He made it absolutely clear that HE came to fulfil the Law not BREAK IT. So, where does the Bible lead us when we are told that His word to the Old Testament people was to kill, fornicate and steal? It leads us away from Christ I'm afraid and further into sin. When you see the Abomination in the Holy Place, you will join Him in being free from sin. I'm telling yer straight. If you stubbornly hang on to the Bible you will have a fit when you meet Christ!

Thesis 81

In the parable of the tenants Jesus is trying to tell both those present and those of us alive today that God has tried many times to communicate His love for His creation. He then tells the listener that God had sent servants whom they mistreated until "He had one left to send, a son, whom he loved. He sent him last of all..."

God makes it absolutely clear on this occasion that He had only one left to send and Jesus, whom He loved, was the last of all. In other words, there is no-one else to come who can be our saviour, our teacher, our priest, our bridegroom, our friend, our brother, our Master, our hope, our guide to living, our shepherd, our Lord, our everything. There is no-one else to come to communicate God's love. There is no-one else in eternity to

come to us, either before He came or after He came in the flesh. No-one else therefore to come to us whether they are called son, daughter, uncle, brother, ‘reverend’, disciple, king, teacher, pastor, shepherd. For God has not ‘sent’ anyone else since to be our saviour, our teacher, our shepherd, our lord, our pastor, our brother who has a word for us that is extra to what Jesus has already brought. Jesus is the last one whom God has sent to teach us about Himself. So who is Paul then?

Moreover, Jesus being aware of how much both His disciples and the people around him like to lord it over each other and call themselves ‘Rabbi’ and ‘Teacher’ because of their Old Testament spiritual training, He gave them all a stern command about not usurping His heavenly and Eternal Role as the Teacher from heaven. “But you are not to be called Rabbi (which is equivalent to master or lord) for you have only one Master and you are all brothers. And do not call anyone on earth ‘father’, for you have one Father, and he is in heaven. Nor are you to be called ‘teacher’ for you have one Teacher, THE CHRIST.”

When the Roman church elevated the thoughts and ideas of a single Christian man to the status of having the same authority as the teacher from heaven they committed themselves to an act of treason and disobedience. By lifting up the words of a single man onto the same Eternal platform as God Himself, as if all the words of Paul are God’s words is both insolence and an abomination.

As a result, the world has produced, by following the Roman example, a myriad of ‘teachers’ who claim to be filled with the Holy Spirit, who have produced a ‘word from the Lord’ or an ‘extra revelation’ that often turns out to be a complete load of rubbish because all of them are usurping Christ.

Paul never intended to disobey Christ because it was not Paul who raised the status of his writings from being letters to friends and fellowships, to being incorporated as a universal canon equal with Christ.

For those of you who believe all Paul's words are divine let me expound for a moment what the consequences have been for the Body of Christ that has disobeyed the Head's own instruction. What I am about to say to you is based on the premise that in God's eyes we are all brothers and sisters and that none of us should consider ourselves above or below each other and it is also based on God's own instruction on this very matter. This means that Paul, a sinner saved by grace, is no greater or lesser than any other member of God's household. Do you agree? Now, I know all about the argument to do with the word 'apostle' and how this single word is used as a lever for making a special case for Paul but the truth still remains the same, Jesus plainly said only He is the Teacher. The consequences of lifting each other up as 'teacher' is that we open up the window of our souls to be fed with all kinds of weird and fascinating imaginative stuff that we can never be sure has been inspired by God. Paul was not perfect and it would be somewhat churlish to argue that all His words are divinely inspired. Additionally, we never know at which point in eternity the 'new teachings' should start and finish. Let me elaborate by way of a parable.

"The man considered himself in charge of his office and, surveying his possessions, he thought to himself, 'now, I must put everything in its right place, so that I know where they are when I need them.' He organised everything based on his own organisational skills and all the possessions simply remained in the place where he put them. Then he breathed on them all and said, 'I want you to have the same mind as me so that you can function in my office together with me so that I can sit back and enjoy my office as it functions correctly. 'But,' he said to all his possessions with a stern warning, 'be careful to only listen to my instructions from my own mouth and do as I tell you. Do not let little stapler teach you, my little filing tray, with the instructions I have given him to help him function as a stapler otherwise there will be total chaos in my office! In my

office there are as many possessions as there are stars in the sky so if each of you were to lift yourselves up to be equal with me as the office manager my lovely big office would become a bedlam of opposing voices and all the stars will fall from the sky in disarray.”

The Bible has lifted itself up as a teacher and the consequence is that a bedlam of voices in God’s house has arisen in which a stapler brow beats a filing tray to function as a stapler, a typewriter demands that the paper clip obeys his teaching and behaves like a typewriter, a Paul commands another disciple to obey his teaching about women, a pencil rubber burns a piece of paper at the stake for not agreeing with his instructions, an ink well hates the pen for not receiving the office manager's instruction from the ink well arguing that the ink well has received some special instruction from the office manager that the pen has not heard itself from the office manager. And so on. The whole office collapses and falls apart when it ‘feeds’ off each member of the office instead of each member ‘feeding’ off the office manager.

Now truly, the Bible’s impact on the life of the church is a lot worse than this and I would gladly illuminate it to whomever. But, for now, all I am saying is, “Get back to Jesus and dump the Bible.”

Thesis 82

If I worship Jesus and only Jesus would you mind?

‘But,’ some Christian friends argued, ‘Jesus is the Bible. So it means you worship the Bible also.’

If I worship Jesus and only Jesus would you mind?

‘If you do this, you will regard everything we believe in as not valid, you must believe in the Bible or we will cut you off!’ they argued threateningly.

If I worship Jesus and only Jesus would you mind?

‘Now, you are being blasphemous! You deny the Bible - the word of truth! We cannot fellowship with you anymore because you refuse to bow down to the Bible!’

If I worship Jesus and only Jesus would you mind?

‘Would we mind? Of course we will. You challenge the authority of the Bible and therefore the authority of God who inspired it. God said, through Paul, it is God breathed and you are a heretic!’

If I worship Jesus and only Jesus would you mind?

‘We will continue to love you but we cannot fellowship with you in the Spirit or pray with you,’ says another, ‘you have cut yourself off from us with this kind of talk.’

If I worship Jesus and only Jesus would you mind?

‘We love you but...you’re not of the same Spirit,’ voices raised slightly, ‘we cannot fellowship with a blasphemer.’

If I worship Jesus and only Jesus would you mind?

And Jesus prayed for all believers. “Father, the Bible wants those you have given the Bible to be with the Bible where it is, and to see its glory, the glory you have given it because you loved the Bible before the creation of the world.”

While he was blessing them, he left them and was taken up into heaven. Then they worshipped the Bible and returned to Jerusalem with great joy. Luke 24 v v51-52

Thesis 83

Then Jesus asked them, “Which is lawful on the Sabbath: to do good or to do evil, to save life or to kill?”

Good – Save life

Evil - kill

How do these similes work? Good equals save; evil equals kill.

Does this suggest to you that the Lord God of Israel and of Jacob and of Joshua and of King Saul is equating the word 'evil' with the word 'kill'? The implications are profound for those who, like Paul, believe all scripture is God-breathed. This belief implicates believers in evil if they engage in acts of killing that are, according to Paul, God breathed in the Old Testament.

Surely, the inspiration of God cannot be discerned without a yardstick, which is why Jesus came.

Thesis 84

The parable of the weeds clearly shows a conflict between the character of God portrayed by sinners in the Scriptures and the character portrayed by the sinless Script of Christ. Let's do a quick analysis: Matt 13: 24-30; 37-43.

The angels who gather the harvest only come at the end of the age and it is only they, not sinful men, who separate good from evil.

In the parable the servants of God who noticed there was evil in their midst asked God where the evil had come from. He replied, 'An enemy has done this.' They then asked Him if it was right to 'pull them up' (some translators have played down the expression 'root up' because it means to pull out of the soil so that the weed dies but the meaning behind their question is clear. They asked God if it was OK to kill them) God replied with an emphatic 'No' because, as He goes on to explain, 'while you are getting rid of the weeds, the sons of evil, you may also accidentally root up the wheat with them. Let both grow together until the harvest.

From this parable alone one can see that God has not conferred on His servants the role of judge and executioner but has commanded His servants to leave well alone and let both good and evil grow up together. This is attested by Jesus' turning the Old Testament 'truth' upside down by commanding them to 'Love their enemies' and not obey the inerrant word of God that says 'an eye for an eye and a tooth for a tooth'. The Old

Testament characterisation of God therefore is totally opposed to the characterisation of God in the life of Christ. God shows us that those in the Old Testament times who thought they 'heard' God whom they did not know, who struck down men, women and children with the sword, whom they identified as weeds in God's field, were in fact hearing lies from the pit of their imagination.

Thesis 85

It fills me with horror and terror how many Christians will meet the Lord Jesus Christ and not even know Him a little bit because, like the Pharisees, they have filled their lives with scriptures that have left no room for His word.

Thesis 86

What is it that God must do in order to give the human race a true description of Himself without having to rely on the corrupt mind of sinful man? For, if God relies on a messenger to communicate who He is, it would have to be someone who can be trusted not to allow a sinful and corrupt mind to impair the description. God cannot rely on the corrupt mind of sinful man therefore to give a 100% accurate portrayal of His character, His form, His nature, His will and His purpose and He would be cheating His creation by accepting someone less than perfect.

By making a canon of spiritual and political authority out of the sinful imaginations of men to represent God as the collective messenger from heaven the Roman and Jewish authorities who connived together in the construction of the canon did not have a single clue what God has invested in Christ Jesus.

By way of an analogy if I wanted to tell someone about myself that was being maligned and contested by those who imagined they knew me better than I knew myself and I was prepared to sacrifice my one and only perfect Son to act as the arbiter for the reputation of my character and my form, do you think I am

going to be overly delighted when they canonise the testimony of my contestants alongside that of my Son who suffered most ignominiously for His true testimony about me?

Truly the canon of the Bible spits in God's face because it spits in His Son's face.

What God must do is what He has done. He came as a Son to give us an account of Himself, and of us, that we can trust, but the Romans did something better in their own eyes and used the canon to call Him a liar.

Thesis 87

When you meet Christ will you recognise Him if the words you have listened to have told you lies about Him? Will He not say to you, 'I tell you the truth, I don't know you.' The trimming of the wick is the trimming away of the soot left by men's words that do not tell us the truth about Christ/God and that fail to cleanse us from sin. The oil in the lamp is the infilling of the Holy Spirit that always follows the eating of Jesus' words and the drinking in of Jesus' life. The eating of the Bible will soot up the wick and fill you with the spirit of sinful man that has been insolently lifted up on a pedestal in the Holy Place.

Thesis 88

In a period of history labelled euphemistically the Dark and Middle Ages thousands of Christian men and women were torched to death by church and state hierarchies. These followers of Christ chose passively to pursue a political dependence on the teachings of Christ rather than church dogma and orthodoxy based on the Biblical canon. Were they justly slaughtered under the rule of law and order gleaned from Old Testament examples of killing the ungodly sinner or from the new example of law and order set by Christ? In other words, does the life and example of Christ teach us to keep law and order by using the implements of torture and death by burning? Read the story behind the fall of the city of Munster in 1535 in

the light of the following comment made by the author in a book called 'The History of Christianity'.

“For centuries churches and governments have exploited the excesses of these months prior to the fall of the city in June 1535 to make Anabaptism (*an anachronistic term used to describe a group of Christians who refused to obey antagonistic church dogma concerning their God-given right to believe in Christ and Christ alone*) an all-embracing byword for fanaticism and disorder. It is striking, however, that many of the major principles of the Munsterites (for example, the linking of church and state, the validity of Old Testament social patterns and the right of Christians to take part in violence) were more typical of the official churches than they were of other Anabaptists.”

The Bible is a curse to the Christian way because it has a false authority.

*My addition to the quote.

Thesis 89

Figuratively speaking, if an angel stepped into the shoes of a human and passively absorbed all the personal attacks by people's sin for say, 10 years, then finally absorbed the necessary judgement for the personal sins of the entire human species by letting people who harbour sin kill him, don't you think that the effect of this great act will be somewhat ridiculed if we worship the words and lives of sinners who harboured this sin? Answer on a postage stamp please.

Thesis 90

When you met your husband for the first time did he ask you to enjoy a relationship with him indirectly through a collection of memorabilia like, photos, diaries, letters about him and other testimonials? Did you neglect to notice that he was drawing attention to himself most desperately by permitting His friends to hang Him on a tree until He bled to death, and being scorned for the words he spoke in love to you that he never had a

chance to explain before enemies came along and took Him away? The good news is, this husband came back from the dead, and is alive forever as the Holy Spirit and He now literally has ALL THE TIME IN THE WORLD to explain to you the meaning of all the lovely things He said to you before He died. But you still prefer the memorabilia and diaries called the Bible and He aches so much with pain to come into your life and explain the truth to you. Why do you do this to Him? Do you really love your husband or not?

Thesis 91

Do you think God had a canny plan to give a clear and precise law to Moses (which later Christ fulfilled on our behalf) only to later instruct His creation to kill each other and THEN add further confusion by personally canonising both the breaking of His laws and the fulfilment of His laws in a book called the Bible so that it appears to have His authority behind all the words it contains? Oh come on... when are you going to wake up?

Thesis 92

Men's testimonies about God are like illumination lights at Blackpool that light up a pocket of sky at night for a season. Whereas Jesus' testimony about God illuminates the entire span of eternity for no seasons. The lights of men are dull compared to the light of Christ. As Jesus said of John, a light that shone, "John was a lamp that burned and gave light, and you chose for a time to enjoy his light. I have a testimony weightier than that of John.

Notice Jesus didn't say that John was inspired by the Holy Spirit to give a testimony about God that must become the 'inerrant word of God'. Even though John was inspired by God to do and say the things he did, it doesn't follow therefore that a servant's words should become 'holy writ'. There's only one servant in heaven whose words have become Holy Writ and that is Jesus'.

Thesis 93

The scripture of men is on a completely different planet to the Scripture of Jesus unless of course the voices in the Bible have lived on the other planet? I use the word planet figuratively. A multitude of voices in the Bible are all earth bound but Christ used His voice to show He was not earth bound. How can the lives of people like Abraham, Moses, Joshua, David and Elijah that are earth bound in sin give us 'inerrant' examples of God's character that can match the inerrant example of God's character given by Christ who was not earth bound in sin? The answer is simple. By the arrogant assumptions made by Roman theology they can. Not even Mary Shelley's Frankenstein can match the monster that the Romans and the Jews have created between them.

Thesis 94

What does it mean to have the mind of Christ exactly? Does it really mean the collective mind of a selection of men's thoughts and deeds harvested from a brief moment in time, spanning a full stop at the end of a phrase? Are we so good at contextual study of the mind of Christ that He cannot communicate to us through His own testimony without an endless procession of 'teachers' offering layers of interpretation as filters and commentaries that are often laced with the view that Christ is an appendage to, rather than a 180 degree revision of, the Jewish portrayal of God?

The mind of Christ is nothing like the mind of men. Neither could the mind of men in any age have the ability to conceive even a whisker of the things about which He spoke. Yet God is misrepresented by the mind of men when it is canonised as His truth.

Thesis 95

Adam is the prototype of the thoughts of man that brought sin into the world. Jesus is the prototype of the thoughts of God that always takes away the sins of the world. The Bible sets up

an arena marked 'holy' and 'inerrant' where the two prototypes battle it out on an equal playing field; I cannot think of anything more insulting to God than this.

Thesis 96

Quoting the words of an Old Testament president, 'There needs to be a regime change', there needs to be a regime change in Christendom. The regime of the Bible needs to end and the reign of Christ begin. This is a prerequisite for His return.

Endnote:

God, the Father of the Lord Jesus Christ, has never commanded any of His children to kill or murder anyone. It is a command He never gives in eternity. The idea that God commanded warriors to slay the sinner is a lie from the pit, a place from which the sinful nature of the Jews conceived attributes of God alien to His Son. This is the place where all those who have rejected the love of Christ, the fulfilment of the law, have also rejected the love of God and who remain therefore imprisoned in the same pit of psychosis from which they got their imaginary commands to disobey God's law concerning taking life.

96 Theses

The Bible, like the Q'uran, contain narratives that are not necessarily divinely inspired. Though the question may be of no interest to unbelievers the fact of the matter is people & nations believe passionately in the scripts as true portrayals of the nature, character and will of God. Millions of devotees to these texts in both Christian and Islamic traditions have found themselves, more often than not, obeying a God who is more of an incarnation of Hebrew culture than of Himself in Jesus Christ.

96 Theses contends that portrayals of God in the Bible, and consequently the Q'uran, not only contradict God's testimony expressed in Christ but creates in the mind of both believer and unbeliever the idea that because Jesus is part of the canon He automatically is of the same character as the God portrayed by people who didn't know Him in the flesh. Jesus is forced into a canonical partnership with narratives that were conceived in sin, which is an insult to the One who suffered for our sakes.

Jesus described Himself as the light of the world but placed as an appendage in a book called 'holy' He has been demoted to being a mere candle bearer for Judaism. Scholars who retrofitted His narrative to the narratives of people who had never seen God in the flesh accomplished two things; a flawed canon and a mortified Christ.

